

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/694,268 10/24/2000 SEIJI MISHIMA 35.C14356 2529 07/27/2004 **EXAMINER** 5514 7590 FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO TUGBANG, ANTHONY D 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER NEW YORK, NY 10112 3729

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/694,268	MISHIMA, SEIJI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	A. Dexter Tugbang	3729	<u> </u>
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address -	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thir od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status	•		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	April 2004		
	his action is non-final.		
3)⊠ Since this application is in condition for allow		ters, prosecution as to the merits	s is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	·	• •	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 29,30,41,42,49 and 50 is/are pendidential 4a) Of the above claim(s) 49 and 50 is/are we solve the solve claim(s) 29,30,41 and 42 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and solve the so	ithdrawn from consideration		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami		butter Francisco	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a  Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	,	1(d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	·	• •	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	an priority under 25 H C C 4	: 110(a) (d) ar (f)	
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
		·	•
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview 9	Summary (PTO-413)	
<ul> <li>Notice of Neterences Cited (170-032)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(	ss)/Mail Date  nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/26/04 has been entered.

#### Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election with traverse of the invention of Group I, Claims 29, 30, 41 and 42, in the reply filed on 4/26/04 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the inventions are not distinct because Groups I and II are not species and are directed to products of inventions. This is not found persuasive because while the scope of both groups of inventions are directed to a process of making, the body of each group does require specific products as each product can be defined as a materially different species, one as a single electron-emitting device, and one with a plurality of electron emitting devices. In the instant case, Group I does not require any plurality of electron-emitting devices or any light-emitting member, as required by Group II. Applicants have diverged from the original claimed invention by with the limitations added to Claim 49, i.e. Group II, in the amendment filed 11/17/03. The restriction requirement was based upon this divergence and the requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Application/Control Number: 09/694,268

Art Unit: 3729

3. Claims 49 and 50 have withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 4/26/04.

## Claim Objections

4. Claim 29 is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase of "a energization" (1<sup>st</sup> occurrence on line 16) should be replaced with the phrase of --an activation--, as this would more appropriately agree with the terminology used in the specification (page 20, lines 14-15). Appropriate correction is required.

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 29, 30, 41 and 42 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

6. This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters.

Cancellation of Claims 49 and 50 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in the reply filed on 4/26/04, (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

The suggested change to Claim 29 above.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte*Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Art Unit: 3729

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire TWO MONTHS from the mailing date of this letter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. Dexter Tugbang whose telephone number is 703-308-7599. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:00 am - 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 703-308-1789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> A. Dexter Tugbang Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3729